

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,669	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	60117.000004	2508
Stanislaus Aks	7590 01/07/200	EXAMINER		
Hunton & Williams			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
Suite 1200 1900 K Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
• .			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/938,669	PETERSEN, JENS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carlos A. Azpuru	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAtes and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 October 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[_;	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	•	n parto quayro, 1000 c.c.				
•	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 27,29,31,33,35-40,44,48-52,54,56-64 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 27, 29, 31, 33, 35-40, 44, 48-52, 54, 5 Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 56-64, 67, 71-76 is/are rejected	·			
Applicati	ion Papers		·			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date			

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 10/10/2007. A terminal disclaimer was also filed on this date.

The rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and 35 USC 103(a) are hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks. The rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is also withdrawn in view of applicant's newly filed terminal disclaimer.

The following are new rejections of the claims:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims <u>27, 29, 31, 33, 35-40, 44, 48-52, 54, 56-64, 67, 71-76 are</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number:

09/938,669 Art Unit: 1615

The claims are indefinite in that "comprising of" language is found in the claims after "consisting essentially of" language has been used. This broader language makes it indefinite as to what is actually being claimed. Correction is requested.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 27, 29, 31, 33, 35-40, 44, 48-52, 54, 56-64, 67, 71-76 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,186,419 (Us'419). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US'419 claims a method of augmenting soft tissue such as cartilage by administering an endoprosthesis comprising 0.5 to 25% polyacrylamide (claim 1). Complex viscosity of the hydrogel is 2 to 25 Pa s (claim 5). The polyacrylamide is formed from the same ratio of acrylamide and methylene bis-acrylamide as instantly claimed (claim 2). The hydrogel is formed from 75% pyrogen free saline (claim 6). Those of ordinary skill would therefore expect the same therapeutic results from the instantly claimed endoprosthesis given the claims of US'419 given that the same hydrogel is administered in the same way. As such, the instant claims would have been obvious at the time of invention given the claims of US'419.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373.

09/938,669

Art Unit: 1615

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

∂aflos A. Azpuru Priman, Evaminer

Primary Examinér

Art Unit 1615

caz